



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,620	02/08/2002	Gholam-Reza Zadno-Azizi	38349-0102C	3007
24961	7590 09/24/2003			
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92122-1246		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	14
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	′ /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	C			
Advisory Action	10/071,620	ZADNO-AZIZI ET AL	···•			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	·			
	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
 3. ☑ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, first paragraph rejection of claim 52. 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 						
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>24 April 2003</u> is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the graft of Leonhardt is made of polyester, which inherently has some elasticity. The definition of "resilience" is "the property of a material that enables it to regain its original shape or position after being bent, stretched or compressed; elasticity" (WEBSTER'S II New Riverside University Dictionary). Because polyester has elastic properties, when stretched, it will regain its original shape. Therefore, the graft material of Leonhardt is indeed resilient. Claim 25 does not require the resilient property of the seal to perform a particular function, only that the seal be resilient.

URMI CHATTORADHYAY

Dalid J. Isabella Primary Examiner